



Buy

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,008	06/26/2003	Surendra N. Naidoo	4017-02803	4945
30652	7590	11/08/2005		
CONLEY ROSE, P.C. 5700 GRANITE PARKWAY, SUITE 330 PLANO, TX 75024			EXAMINER RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2643	

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/607,008

Applicant(s)

NAIDOO ET AL.

Examiner

Melur Ramakrishnaiah

Art Unit

2643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 August 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 54 and 59-79 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 54, 59-79 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-06-2003.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Office action dated 7-5-2005 inadvertently addressed wrong set of claims 1-59 out of which applicant has cancelled claims 1-53 and amended claim 54, cancelled claims 55-58 and added new claims 59-79 dated 6-6-2003. Therefore rejection of claims 54, 59-79 follows.

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 54, 59-69, 79, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. For example claim 54 has limitation: "wherein, in the absence of said alarm event, said second subsystem is unable to access said first subsystem via said interface." There is no disclosure in the specification as to how this is done. Claim 68 has limitation: "wherein by denying access to said first subsystem in the absence of said alarm event, the privacy of individuals located at said premises is enhanced". There is no disclosure in the specification as to how this is done.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Art Unit: 2643

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 54, 59-62, 63-69, 70-74, 75-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Nabavi (GB 2325548).

Regarding claim 54, Nabavi discloses the following: for security system which includes a first subsystem for monitoring of a premises and a second subsystem for displaying collected by the first subsystem while managing monitoring of the premises, an interface for coupling the first subsystem and the second subsystem, the interface comprising: means (7, fig. 1) for coupling the first subsystem (1, fig. 1) to the second subsystem (8, fig. 1), and means (11, fig. 1) for enabling the second subsystem to access the first subsystem via the interface upon the subsystem determining that alarm event has occurred, wherein the absence of the alarm event, the second subsystem is unable to access the first subsystem via the interface (abstract, page 3, line 12 – page 4, line 2, page 6 lines 17-30).

Regarding claim 70, Nabavi discloses the following: means (8, fig. 1) for receiving alarm condition data from the first system (1, fig. 1), the first system transmitting the alarm condition data to the interface in (1, fig. 1) upon determining alarm event has occurred at the premises, means for storing alarm condition data received from the first sub-system, and means for enabling the second subsystem (9, fig. 1) to access stored alarm condition data for a prescribed period of time after alarm event has occurred at the premises (page 4, line 3 – page 5, line 31).

Regarding claim 75, Nabavi discloses the following: means in (1, fig. 1) for receiving audiovisual data from the first system (1, fig. 1) means (18, fig. 2) for storing

Art Unit: 2643

the audiovisual data from the first system , and means (9, fig. 1) for enabling the second system to access the stored audiovisual data (page 4, line 3 – page 5, line 31).

Regarding claims 59-62, 64-69, 71-74, 76-79, Nabavi further teaches the following: first subsystem (1, fig. 1) manages the premises by capturing and recording audio visual information related to the premises and wherein means for enabling the second subsystem to access the first subsystem upon the first subsystem determining an alarm event has occurred further comprises means (9, fig. 1) for allowing the second subsystem to access the audiovisual information captured and recorded by the first subsystem (page 5 lines 6-31, page 6, line 26 – page 7, line 31), first subsystem (1, fig. 1) catches a first portion of the audiovisual information captured by the first subsystem and stores a second portion of the audiovisual information captured and recorded by the first subsystem (1, fig. 1) and wherein means for allowing the second subsystem (9, fig. 1) to access the audiovisual information captured and recorded by the first subsystem further comprises means for allowing the second subsystem to access the cached and stored portions of the audiovisual information, means (7, fig. 1) for relaying alarm condition data, from the first subsystem (1, fig. 1) to the second subsystem via interface upon determination, by the first subsystem, of the alarm condition, the first subsystem manages the premises by capturing and recording audiovisual information which includes: alarm audiovisual information relating to the premises and non-alarm audiovisual information relating to the premises, and wherein means for enabling the second subsystem (9, fig. 1) to access the first subsystem via the interface upon the first subsystem determining that alarm event has occurred further comprises means (11,

Art Unit: 2643

fig. 1) for enabling the second subsystem (9, fig. 1) to access the alarm audiovisual information upon first subsystem determining that an alarm event has occurred, and means for enabling the second subsystem to access the non-alarm audiovisual information upon the first subsystem determining that an alarm event has occurred, wherein the alarm audiovisual information includes real-time audiovisual information, means for relaying alarm condition upon determination of the alarm condition further comprises means for streaming the real time audiovisual information to the second subsystem (9, fig. 1) via the interface (page 2 lines 14-30; page 5 lines 6-31, page 6, line 26 – page 7, line 31), a processor based device and wherein the coupling means, the enabling means, and allowing means and relaying means are all implemented as software running on the processor base device, wherein the interface further comprises plural processor-base devices (4-6, fig. 1) coupled together by communication interface (7, fig. 1), allowing means and relaying means are all implemented as software on the plural processor based devices (fig. 3 page lines 6-31), by enabling access to the first subsystem (1, fig. 1) during the alarm event, an operator of the second subsystem (9, fig. 1) may evaluate the relayed alarm condition data, and based upon the evaluation determine whether an actual alarm condition exists, and wherein by denying access to the first subsystem (this reads on unauthorized access which is not possible as taught by reference (page 6 lines 18-25) in the absence of the alarm event, the privacy of individuals located at the premises is enhanced, means for authorizing the third subsystem (note this reads on item 9 could be located at plural locations as it is connected to internet as shown in fig. 1), wherein, in the absence of authorization by the

Art Unit: 2643

interface, the third subsystem is unable to access the first subsystem (1, fig. 1, page 6 lines 7-14), interface (7, fig. 1) couples the second system with plural first systems (1, like one shown in fig. 1, page 2, line 31 – page 3, line 3), means (10, fig. 1) for enabling the second system to access selected ones of the plural first subsystems for which an alarm event has occurred (page 3, line 29 – page 4, line 2), means for maintaining network addresses at which each of the plural subsystems may be accessed (this is implicit in as much as the reference teaches central monitoring station is connected to plural premises for alarm monitoring), means in (9, fig. 1) for relaying control and/or configuration data generated by the second subsystem to a selected one of the plural first subsystems after the occurrence of the alarm event threat (page 1, line 20 – page 2, line 2; page 2 lines 23-30).


Regarding claim 63, Nabavi further teaches the following: alarm audiovisual information includes pre-alarm event audiovisual information (page 2 lines 14-22).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (571)272-8098. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 Hr schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curt Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Melur Ramakrishnaiah
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2643